,	CATHEDINE CODIEZ MACTO
1	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO
	Attorney General
2	KRISTÉN R. GEDDES
	Deputy Attorney General
3	Nevada Bar No. 9027
	Bureau of Public Affairs
4	Division of Public Safety
•	100 North Carson Street
5	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
5	Tel: (775) 684-1231
_	161. (775) 004-1231   Fox: (775) 694 1402
6	Fax: (775) 684-1103
	kgeddes@ag.nv.gov
7	
	Attorneys for Defendants Leo Drozdoff,

Andrew Bass, Eric Johnson, David Morrow and Steve Weaver

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

AL BAKER, Case No. 3:10-cv-00426-ECR-RAM Plaintiff, VS. LEO DROZDOFF, Acting Director of the Nevada Department of Conservation and ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED Natural Resources: DAVID K. MORROW. COMPLAINT Administrator of the Nevada State Parks; STEVE WEAVER, Deputy Director of the Nevada State Parks; ERIC JOHNSON, Nevada State Parks Fallon Regional Manager; and ANDREW BASS, Park Supervisor I, Wild Horse State Recreation Area. Defendants.

Defendants, Leo Drozdoff, Andrew Bass, Eric Johnson, David Morrow and Steve Weaver, by and through their attorneys of record, Catherine Cortez Masto, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Kristen R. Geddes, Deputy Attorney General, in answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint ("Amended Complaint") on file herein, admit, deny and allege as follows:

111

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# NATURE OF THE CLAIMS

1. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 1, Defendants ADMIT that Plaintiff asserts claims for declaratory and injunctive relief.

#### JURISDICTION

2. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 2, Defendants ADMIT that jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3), and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

#### **VENUE**

3. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 3, Defendants ADMIT only that venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and LR IA8-1. Defendants DENY the remaining allegations contained therein.

### **PARITES**

- 4. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 4, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis DENY same.
- 5. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 5, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis DENY same.
- Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 6, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis DENY same.
- 7. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 7, Defendants ADMIT only that Leo Drozdoff is the Acting Administrator of the Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources and that he is sued in his individual and official capacities. Defendants DENY the remaining allegations contained therein.
- 8. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 8, Defendants ADMIT only that David Morrow is the Administrator of the Nevada Division of State Parks and that he is sued in his individual and official capacities. Defendants DENY the remaining

allegations contained therein.

- 9. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 9, ADMIT only that Steve Weaver is the Deputy Administrator of the Nevada Division of State Parks and that he is sued in his individual and official capacities. Defendants DENY the remaining allegations contained therein.
- 10. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 10, Defendants ADMIT only that Eric Johnson is the Nevada Division of State Parks Fallon Regional Manager; that Defendant Johnson is responsible for the management of the Fallon Region of the Division of State Parks; and that Defendant Johnson is sued in his individual and official capacities. Defendants DENY the remaining allegations contained therein.
- 11. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 11, Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 11, Defendants ADMIT only that Andrew Bass is a Park Supervisor I for the Wild Horse State Recreation Area; that Defendant Bass is responsible for the supervision of the Wilde Horse State Recreation Area; and that Defendant Bass is sued in his individual and official capacities. Defendants DENY the remaining allegations therein.

## **LEGAL BACKGROUND**

- 12. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 12, Defendants ADMIT that the Second Amendment states: "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."
- 13. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 13, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis DENY same.
- 14. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 14, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis DENY same.
- 15. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 15, Defendants are

- without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis DENY same.
- 16. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 16, Defendants ADMIT that the Fourteen Amendment contains in pertinent part, the cited language.
- 17. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 17, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis DENY same.
- 18. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 18, Defendants DENY each and every allegation therein.
- 19. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 19, Defendants DENY each and every allegation contained therein.
- 20. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 20, Defendants DENY each and every allegation contained therein.
- 21. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 21, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis DENY same.
- 22. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 22, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis DENY same.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 23. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 23, Defendants ADMIT the allegations contained therein.
- 24. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein at ¶ 24, Defendants DENY each and every allegation contained therein.
- 25. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein ¶ 25, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations that Plaintiff presently intends to possess a functional firearm in Nevada State Parks, and if necessary discharge that firearm for self-defense, and on that basis DENY same.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Defendants DENY all remaining allegations contained therein.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Functional Firearms Ban) Right to Keep and Bear Arms) (Declaratory and Injunctive Relief)

- 26. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein ¶ 26, Defendants reallege and incorporate the admissions and denials in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 27. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein ¶ 27, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis DENY same.
- 28. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein ¶ 28, Defendants DENY all allegations contained therein.
- 29. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein ¶ 29, Defendants DENY all allegations contained therein.
- 30. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein ¶ 30, Defendants DENY all allegations contained therein, including that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief specified therein.

## SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Prohibition on Defensive Discharge of Firearms) (Right to Keep and Bear Arms) (Declaratory and Injunctive Relief)

- 31. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein ¶ 31, Defendants reallege and incorporate the admissions and denials in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 32. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein ¶ 32, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis DENY same.
- 33. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein ¶ 33, Defendants DENY all allegations contained therein.
- 34. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein ¶ 34, Defendants DENY all

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

35. Answering Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on file herein ¶ 35, Defendants DENY all allegations contained therein, including that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief specified therein.

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted;
  - 2. Plaintiff's claim are not ripe;
  - 3. Plaintiff's claims are moot;
  - 4. Defendants are entitled to "qualified immunity" from this litigation and all liability;
- 5. The Eleventh Amendment to the United States Constitution bars actions against Defendants;
  - 6. Plaintiff is solely responsible for the harm alleged to have occurred;
- 7. Plaintiff's alleged injuries, harm, and damages are speculative, barring or reducing any damages award for the same;
  - 8. Plaintiff failed to mitigate his losses, injuries, damages, if any;
  - 9. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the applicable statute of limitations;
  - 10. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of laches;
- Defendants cannot be sued for monetary damages while acting in their official 11. capacity;
- 12. Plaintiff has failed to join necessary and indispensable parties under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 19;
- 13. Plaintiff lacks standing to litigate the claims, or any of them, of his Amended Complaint;
- Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of "res judicata" and/or "collateral 14. estoppel";
- Plaintiff's injuries, if any, were, de minimus and no recovery may be had 15. therefore;

- 16. Some or all of the named Defendants were not personally involved and/or the cause in fact and proximate cause of Plaintiff's alleged constitutional deprivations;17. Defendants presently have insufficient knowledge or information by which to
- 17. Defendants presently have insufficient knowledge or information by which to form a belief as to whether he may have additional, as yet unstated, defenses available. Defendants herein reserves their right to assert additional defenses in the event discovery shows that it would be appropriate to assert additional affirmative defenses.

WHEREFORE, Defendants pray as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff take nothing by virtue of his Amended Complaint;
- 2. That Defendants have judgment for their costs and attorney fees as determined by law;
- 3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2010.

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General

By:

Kristen R. Geddes Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Defendants Leo Drozdoff, Andrew Bass, Eric Johnson, David Morrow and Steve Weaver

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada
and that on this 10 <sup>th</sup> day of September 2010, I caused to be served a copy of the foregoing
ANSWER, by CM/ECF to the following:

Robert Salyer Wilson, Barrow and & Sayler, Ltd
442 Court Street
Elko, NV 89801
salver@wilsonbarrows.com

James M. Manley
Mountain States Legal Foundation
2596 South Lewis Way
Lakewood, CO 80227
imanley@mountainstateslegal.com

